The opinion in support of the decision being entered today was <u>not</u> written for publication and is <u>not</u> binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 27

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte JIM FARQUHAR, KEN DORF, BRANDT WEIBEZAHN, IGGONI FAJARDO and CHARLES CENTOFANTE

MAILED

FEB 0 6 2002

Appeal No. 2001-2250 Application 08/818,520

PAT. & T.M. OFFICE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ORDER REMANDING TO EXAMINER

An Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) (Paper No. 23) was filed on January 11, 2001. On June 4, 2001, applicants filed a Petition under 37 CFR § 1.97(e)(1) (Paper No. 25) for consideration of the IDS (Paper No. 23). Additional Correspondence (Paper No. 26) was faxed to the office on July 13, 2001 concerning the IDS (Paper No. 23). There is no indication in the record that the Petition or the IDS was considered according to the criteria set forth in 37 CFR §§ 1.97 and 1.98. A communication notifying applications of the decision of the

Appeal No. 2001-2250 Application No. 08/818,520

Petition and the IDS is required. See Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) \$ 609(c) (8th Ed., August 2001).

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the examiner for resolution of the following issues:

- consideration of the Petition under 37 CFR § 1.97(e)(1) (Paper No. 25);
 - consideration of the IDS (Paper No. 23);
- appropriate notification to applicants of the action taken; and
 - for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the status of the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

> BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

ALE M. SHAW

Program and Resource Administrator (703) 308-9797

DMS:svt

Appeal No. 2001-2250 Application No. 08/818,520

BELL BOYD & LLOYD, LLC P.O. Box 1135 Chicago, IL 60606-1135